

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy

CDS Code: 12101240134163

School Year: 2024-25

LEA contact information:

Michael Bazemore


Head of School

mbazemore@northcoastprep.org

707-822-0861

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

## Budget Overview for the 2024-25 School Year




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy is \$1,878,830, of which \$1,575,237 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$175,832 is other state funds, \$113,457 is local funds, and \$14,304, is federal funds. Of the \$1,575,237 in LCFF Funds, \$74,496 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy plans to spend for 2024-25. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy plans to spend \$1,973,967 for the 2024-25 school year. Of that amount, \$1,505,158 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$468,809 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:


There was no adverse impact for high needs students. The LEA's actual expenditures exceeded the budgeted expenditures by \$53,014.

## Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2024-25 School Year

In 2024-25, Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy is projecting it will receive \$74,496 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy plans to spend \$150,654 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

# LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

## Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2023-24



This chart compares what Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2023-24, Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy's LCAP budgeted \$62,522 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy actually spent \$115,536 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2023-24.

# 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update

The instructions for completing the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Annual Update follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy	Michael Bazemore Head of School	mbazemore@northcoastprep.org 707-822-0861

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
1	Maintain academic achievement in all areas

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Standardized test scores, SAT and IB exam scores: CAASPP ELA (2018-19), CAASPP Math (2018-19), CAST Science (2018/19), SAT Test Scores (2018-19), IB Scores (2019-20), Seal of Biliteracy Exam (2019-20)	<p>CAASPP ELA(2018-19): 61.9 pts above standard (Source: Dashboard)</p> <p>CAASPP Math(2018-19): 16.3 pts below standard (Source: Dashboard)</p> <p>SAT Test Scores (2018-19): 1800 average for students tested (Source: DataQuest)</p> <p>IB Scores (2019-20): 89% passed at Level 3 or above (Source: International Baccalaureate)</p> <p>Seal of Biliteracy(2019-20): 63% (Source: Language teacher records)</p>	<p>CAASPP ELA(2020-21): 88% met or exceeded the standard (Source: DataQuest)</p> <p>CAASPP Math(2020-21): 53% met or exceeded the standard (Source: DataQuest)</p> <p>SAT average: not representative due to the small number of students electing to test due to the pandemic.</p> <p>IB Scores(2021): 100% passed, achieving a mark of 3 or higher. No marks below 3 were awarded.</p>	<p>CAASPP ELA(2021-22): 33.8 points above the standard (Source: CDE Dashboard)</p> <p>CAASPP Math (2021-22): 27 points below the standard (Source: CDE Dashboard), though scores were among the highest in our county.</p> <p>SAT average: not representative due to the small number of students electing to test.</p> <p>IB Scores (2022): 100% passed, achieving a mark of 3 or higher. No marks below 3 were awarded.</p>	<p>CAASPP Data Pending due to partial loss of scores. Case is under review by ETS. Currently the CAASPP results website indicates that 87% of students met or nearly met the standard in ELA and 67% of the students met or nearly met the standard in Math.</p> <p>IB Scores (2023): 100% of students testing receive a mark of 3 or higher, fully satisfying the Equity and Excellence and Equity and Access requirements.</p> <p>Seal of Biliteracy results are not yet available for the current year.</p>	Meet or exceed baseline expectations in all areas.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
		Seal of Biliteracy (2021): 87% attempting the seal passed (Source: Language teacher records)	Seal of Biliteracy (2023): 100% attempting seal passed (Source: Language teacher records)		
Teacher mis-assignments (2019-20)	Teacher mis-assignments (2019-20): No teacher mis-assignments (CDE Dashboard)	No teacher mis-assignments in the 2020-21 LCAP year. (CDE Dashboard)	No teacher mis-assignments in the 2021-22 LCAP year. (CDE Dashboard)	Current year data not yet available. Two intern teachers at the school were identified as needing proper certification, which has been completed.	Maintain baseline
Standards aligned instructional materials within a broad course of study and academic content and performance standards adopted by the state board	Standards aligned instructional materials: All students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials; a broad course of study is present by requirement in the school's IB curriculum (Source: IB Authorization Report, CDE Dashboard) Academic content and performance standards: all content and performance standards are met or exceeded by the	Standards-aligned instructional materials: All students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials; a broad course of study is present by requirement in the school's IB curriculum (Source: IB Authorization Report, CDE Dashboard 2021) Academic content and performance standards: all content and performance	Standards-aligned instructional materials: All students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials; a broad course of study is present by requirement in the school's IB curriculum (Source: IB Authorization Report, CDE Dashboard 2022) Academic content and performance standards: all content and performance	Standards-aligned instructional materials: All students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials; a broad course of study is present by requirement in the school's IB curriculum (Source: IB Authorization Report, CDE Dashboard 2022) Academic content and performance standards: all content and performance	Maintain baseline

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
	school's adopted IB curriculum, though as noted specific adjustment is needed in several areas in math to ensure that emphasis is placed on all state content standards in comparison to the emphasis placed by the IB.	standards are met or exceeded by the school's adopted IB curriculum.	standards are met or exceeded by the school's adopted IB curriculum.	standards are met or exceeded by the school's adopted IB curriculum.	
College preparedness data: Graduation Rates(2019-20), UC/CSU readiness (2019-20),IB/AP EE%	Graduation Rates(2019-20): 93%graduation rate(Source: CDE Dashboard)UC/CSU readiness(2019-20): 100% of graduates have completed a-g requirements(Source: graduating class transcripts)IB/AP EE% (2019-20): 95% of graduates fulfilled the AP/IB Excellence in Equity standard (Source:AP/IB score reports)	Graduation Rates(2020-21): 97%graduation rate (Source: School Registrar)  UC/CSU readiness (2020-21): 100% of graduates have completed a-g require-ments (Source:graduating class transcripts)  IB/AP EE% (2020-21):100% of graduates fulfilled the AP/IB Excellence in Equity standard (Source:AP/IB score reports)	Graduation Rates(2021-22): 95% graduation rate (Source: School Registrar, CDE Dashboard)  UC/CSU readiness (2021-22): 100% of graduates completed a-g requirements (Source:graduating class transcripts)  IB/AP EE% (2021-22):100% of graduates fulfilled the AP/IB Excellence in Equity standard (Source:AP/IB score reports)	Graduation Rates(2022-23): 87% graduation rate reported on CDE Dashboard due to some students who transferred out of the school not graduating from the schools they transferred to. All seniors who were enrolled in the school in Spring 2023 graduated. (Source: School Registrar, CDE Dashboard)  UC/CSU readiness (2022-23): 100% of graduates completed a-g requirements. (Source:graduating class transcripts)	Maintain baseline

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
				<p>School also designated by US News as top high school in Humboldt for college readiness.</p> <p>IB/AP EE% (2022-23):100% of graduates fulfilled the AP/IB Excellence in Equity standard (Source:AP/IB score reports)</p>	
Support for English Learners and Unduplicated Students: %of EL pupils progressing toward English proficiency,EL reclassification rate,access of EL students to CCSS and ELD standards Programs and services provided to unduplicated students, programs and services provided to students with exceptional needs	<p>% of EL pupils progressing toward English proficiency(2019-20): n/a (no eligible students)</p> <p>EL reclassification rate (2019-20): n/a (no eligible students)</p> <p>Access of EL students to CCSS and ELD standards(2019-20): 100% (Source: though there were no EL students in 2019-20,whenever the school has an EL student the standards are reviewed by the resource specialist to ensure compliance/access for that student)Programs</p>	<p>Access of EL students to CCSS and ELD standards:100% (See above).The school currently has no EL students.</p> <p>Programs and services provided to unduplicated students: 100% of students have access to personalized academic support and enhanced college counselling (Source:school records of support plans for unduplicated students).</p>	<p>Access of EL students to CCSS and ELD standards:100% (See above).The school currently has no EL students.</p> <p>Programs and services provided to unduplicated students: 100% of students have access to personalized academic support and enhanced college counselling (Source:school records of support plans for unduplicated students).</p>	<p>Access of EL students to CCSS and ELD standards:100% (See above).The school currently has no EL students.</p> <p>Programs and services provided to unduplicated students: 100% of students have access to personalized academic support and enhanced college counselling (Source:school records of support plans for unduplicated students).</p>	<p>Maintain baseline for programs and services for unduplicated students. Fully support any new EL students in progress toward English proficiency.</p>



Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
	and services provided to unduplicated students: 100% of students have access to personalized academic support and enhanced college counseling (Source: school records of support plans for unduplicated students). See Goal1, Actions 2 and 5. Programs and services provided to students with exceptional needs: 100% of students have access to support programs designed by the resource specialist in consultation with the student and family (Source: resource specialist records). See Goal1, Action 7.	100% of students have access to support programs designed by the resource specialist in consultation with the student and family (Source: resource specialist records).	100% of students have access to support programs designed by the resource specialist in consultation with the student and family (Source: resource specialist records).	100% of students have access to support programs designed by the resource specialist in consultation with the student and family (Source: resource specialist records).	
CTE Pathway Data	As an IB world school, the school does not offer a CTE pathway.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Maintain baseline. The school has no plans to change its IB curriculum framework.

# Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The school successfully maintained academic standards in all areas, as reflected by IB and AP test score results and college admissions data.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Several variances due to original plan not including all related expenditures. Action 1) Original plan did not include expenses in RS 1400, 3010, 3213, 4035, 4126, 4127, 6762, and 7435. Action 2) Original plan did not include Spanish teacher in RS 0001. Action 3) Reduced testing budget to align more closely with anticipated expenditures. Action 4) Re-allocate percentage of position 11 for A-G instruction. Action 5) Small change (less than \$500) to a small budget line resulted in a variance of 10% or more. Action 6) Increased materials budget due to spending down one-time funds and carryover. Action 7) Original plan did not include inter-LEA services. Action 8) Original plan did not include RS 3010 expenditures.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The school's actions were effective, as demonstrated by student outcomes in CAASPP results, IB and AP scores, and college admissions. However, the school still has goals to improve scores on state standardized tests due to the fact that performance on these tests is not at the same standard as student achievement on the AP and IB exams.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

The only changes pertained to desired outcomes and actions for the CAASPP exam results. The schools average math scores from 2021 to the current year, while above the state average during that same period, still lag substantially behind the school's ELA scores. As a result the school has begun implementing interim testing procedures, to ensure correct targetting of math skills and outcomes.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
2	Increase student access to appropriate information technology resources in order to ensure continued success in the IB program and to prepare students for success in post secondary education and future careers.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Functionality of technology systems and website.	Full functionality of technology systems and website. All families and students have access to all expected systems and are provided with appropriate devices and/or connectivity as needed.	Full functionality and access/connectivity was maintained for all students for the 2021 LCAP year.	Full functionality and access/connectivity was maintained for all students for the 2022 LCAP year.	Full functionality and access/connectivity was maintained for all students for the 2023 LCAP year.	Continued 100% adherence to baseline expectations.

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

There were no substantive differences.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 1) Did not purchase as many Chromebooks as originally anticipated. Action 2) Increased budget to reflect updated estimated costs of services.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The actions were fully effective.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No changes were made.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
3	Maintain student participation in meaningful service learning activities.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Number of volunteer projects initiated and hours spent for 6th through 10th grade students.	90+% of 6th through 10th grade students initiate projects involving 20+ hours of service work.	94% of students in grades 6-10 initiated projects involving 20+ hours of servicework (Source: IB Service Logs).	96% of students in grades 6-10 initiated projects involving 20+ hours of servicework (Source: IB Service Logs).	93% of students in grades 6-10 initiated projects involving 20+ hours of servicework, including 100% of students in grades 8 and 12. (Source: IB Service Logs)	Maintain or exceed baseline expectations.
Completeness of CAS journals and quality of reflective statements for 11th and 12th grade students.	90+% of 12th grade students complete an exemplary CAS portfolio.	97% of students completed an exemplary CAS portfolio. (Source: CAS Supervisor records)	95% of students completed an exemplary CAS portfolio. (Source: CAS Supervisor records)	91% of students completed an exemplary CAS portfolio. (Source: CAS Supervisor records)	Maintain or exceed baseline expectations.

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

There were no substantive differences.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 1) Increased costs for office supplies.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The actions were highly effective, and nearly all students fully met the goal.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No changes were made.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
4	Maintain high levels of student and parent engagement

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
For parents: maintaining the current rate of participation in Parent Council and other supplementary programs, participation in school and LEA decision-making, participation in programs for unduplicated students, participation in programs for students with exceptional needs.	Parental participation and volunteer rates(2019-20): 87% (Source: school survey results) Parental participation in school decision-making (2019-20): 87% (Source: school survey participation rate; parent council participation, meeting attendance rates) Parent participation in programs for unduplicated students: 100%(Source: manual count of participating families) Parent participation in programs for students with exceptional needs:100% (Source:manual count of participating families)	The school achieved an 84% parental participation and volunteer rate, down 3% from the prior year. (Source:school survey participation rate; parent council participation,meeting attendance rates) Parental participation in decision-making rose to 93% in survey input requests. (Source:manual count of participating families) Parent participation for unduplicated students was maintained at 100%, as was parent participation in programs for students with exceptional needs.(Source: manual count)	The school achieved an 85% parental participation and volunteer rate, comparable to the prior year. (Source:school survey participation rate; parent council participation,meeting attendance rates) Parental participation in decision-making was at 91% in survey input requests. (Source:manual count of participating families) Parent participation for unduplicated students was maintained at 100%, as was parent participation in programs for students	The school achieved a 90+% parental participation and volunteer rate. Parent involvement has been gradually increasing this year, both in the number of parents involved and the amount of time spent, and the trend is promising. (Source:school survey participation rate; parent council participation,meeting attendance rates) Parent participation for unduplicated students was maintained at 100%, as was parent participation in programs for students with exceptional	Increase parent participation in Parent Council and supplementary programs to 80% or higher.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
			with exceptional needs. (Source: manual count)	needs. (Source: manual count)	
For students: Attendance (2019-20), Suspension (2019-20), Expulsion (2019-20), Chronic Absenteeism (2019-20), GPA (2019-20), Student extracurricular pursuits (2019-20), School climate/community (2019-20).	Maintain attendance rates of 95% or higher, statistically insignificant suspension/expulsion and dropout rates, school-wide average GPA of 3.4 or higher, and student engagement of 90+% in meaningful extracurricular pursuits. ·Attendance (2019-20): 95% (Source:P1, P2 data) ·Suspension (2019-20): 0% (Source:DataQuest/Dashboard)· Expulsion (2019-20): 0% (Source:DataQuest) Chronic Absenteeism (2019-20): 1% (CDE Dashboard) ·Average GPA (2019-20): 3.8 (weighted)(Source: Jupiter Ed Information System)· Student extracurricular pursuits (2019-	Attendance: 97%(Source: P1, P2data) ·Suspension:1% (Source: schoolrecords) ·Expulsion:0% (Source:DataQuest) ChronicAbsenteeism: 1%(CDE Dashboard) ·Average GPA: 3.8(weighted) (Source:Jupiter Ed Information System)· Student extracurricular pursuits: 97%(Source: IB community project, personal project, andCAS)  School climate/community:no student/family re-ports feeling unsafe (Source: schoolrecords)	Attendance: 97% (Source: P1, P2 data) Suspension:2% (Source: schoolrecords) Expulsion:0% (Source:DataQuest) ChronicAbsenteeism: 0% (CDE Dashboard) Average Graduating GPA: 3.85 (weighted) (Source:Jupiter Ed Information System)· Student extracurricular pursuits: 96%(Source: IB community project, personal project, and CAS)  School climate/community:co ncerns expressed by families at middle school, which were addressed through additional oversight (Source: school records)	Attendance: 96% (Source: P1 data) Suspension:1% (Source: schoolrecords) Expulsion:0% (Source:DataQuest) ChronicAbsenteeism: 0% (CDE Dashboard) Average Graduating GPA: 3.82 (weighted) (Source:Jupiter Ed Information System)· Student extracurricular pursuits: 94%(Source: IB community project, personal project, and CAS)  School climate/community:co ncerns which were expressed by families at middle school were addressed through additional oversight. No student reports feeling unsafe. (Source: school records)	Maintain baseline expectations for students.



Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
	20):95% (Source: IB community project, personal project,and CAS) School climate/community(2019-20): no student/family reports feeling unsafe or disconnected with 0suspensionsannually (Source:School climate survey, SARC, CDE Dashboard Suspension Rate,individual communications with families).				

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

There were no substantive differences.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Actions 1 and 4) Small change (less than \$500) to a small budget line resulted in a variance of 10% or more. Action 3) Reduced athletics budget due to constraints on overall budget, especially unrestricted spending.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The school has made a renewed effort to involve parents in the school's parent organization, and this is leading to even more involvement on the part of parents.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Beyond the actions described above for the parent organization, no changes were made.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
5	Maintain and improve NPA’s physical plant to sustain and improve functionality of instructional spaces, provide safe transportation for students, and continue to develop the fund for a new facility that will fully meet the needs of the school’s programs

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
All facilities are rated in good condition (Source:Facilities Inspection Tool). Net increase in funds set aside for new facility, reserve fund balance for vans and van maintenance.	All facilities are maintained in good condition (Source:Facilities Inspection Tool). Increases in the new facility fund and transportation fund will be allocated based on availability of funds.	Baseline was maintained for all facilities. \$5,000 was allocated for transportation. An additional \$10,000 is anticipated as a donation toward an additional van.	Baseline was maintained for all facilities. \$5,000 was allocated for transportation. A \$11,000 donation was received, which enabled the purchase of an additional van.  All facilities were maintained in good condition (Source: SARC)  No funds were able to be allocated for the facilities development fund.	Baseline was maintained for all facilities. \$5,000 was allocated for transportation and maintenance. The school kept all vehicles in good working order.  All facilities were maintained in good condition (Source: SARC)  No funds were able to be allocated for the facilities development fund due to budget constraints.	Maintenance of all facilities in good condition. Growth in new facility fund by minimum of \$20,000 per year; allocation of \$5,000 per year toward vans and van maintenance.

# Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The planned actions were effective in achieving the goal, with the exception of the facilities development fund, which received no additional funds.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Action 2) Original plan did not include expenditures in object 5631 repairs and object 5500 utilities. No major repairs planned at this time.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The actions were fully effective in maintaining the schools facilities and transportation in good condition. The school was not able to make any progress toward contributing money to its facilities development fund.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

No changes were made.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

## Instructions

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education’s (CDE’s) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at [lcff@cde.ca.gov](mailto:lcff@cde.ca.gov).

Complete the prompts as instructed for each goal included in the 2023–24 LCAP. Duplicate the tables as needed. The 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update must be included with the 2024–25 LCAP.

## Goals and Actions

### Goal(s)

#### Description:

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Measuring and Reporting Results

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Metric:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Baseline:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Year 1 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Year 2 Outcome:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Year 3 Outcome:

- When completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

#### Desired Outcome for 2023–24:

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Timeline for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)
Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Enter information in this box when completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

## Goal Analysis

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the desired result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or desired result.
  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

California Department of Education

November 2023

# Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Northcoast Preparatory and Performing Arts Academy	Michael Bazemore Head of School	mbazemore@northcoastprep.org 707-822-0861

## Plan Summary [2024-25]

### General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

NPA is an International Baccalaureate World School chartered through the Humboldt County Office of Education, serving students in grades 6-12. NPA focuses on the sciences, arts, and responsible global citizenship throughout the middle and high school experience, with an emphasis on critical thinking and primary source documents. All NPA students undertake independent projects, conduct research, present multiple papers, and pursue the full IB diploma course of study. NPA students are eager to learn about all aspects of the world they live in and embrace the academic challenges and extracurricular opportunities offered by the school.

### Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Part 1:  
Since its introduction, the school has consistently been successful in meeting its performance goals on the California School Dashboard, with no results in the orange for any student group in any prior year. The data for this past year do not reflect student achievement, because the Grade 11 results were not able to be included due to unidentified technical difficulties. The school contacted the CDE regarding these missing scores, and the CDE acknowledged that it had a record of the testing, and was in possession of the ELA scores, but was not willing to include these scores due to the technical difficulty that made the math scores inaccessible. As a result, all 11th grade testers were assigned a zero, causing the school's scores to appear abnormally low. Fortunately, despite the fact that approximately 20% of the scores were assigned a zero, the school still stayed in the orange markband, and no student groups were identified as being in the lowest performance level. The school has verified that all scores were received and processed this testing cycle, and anticipates a return to previous levels of performance as a result.

Part 2:  
2023 Dashboard (Data must remain in the plan for the full 3 year cycle)  
No student groups are at the lowest performance level.

## Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

n/a

## Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

### ***Schools Identified***

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

n/a

### ***Support for Identified Schools***

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

n/a

### ***Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness***

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

n/a



# Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
Parents, Students, Faculty and Staff, Board of Directors	All stakeholder groups were given surveys and/or participated in meetings to discuss goals and needs. Parents and students were given surveys and participated in meetings per grade level, one in November and one in the Spring Semester leading up to LCAP approval. Faculty and staff provided input during special meetings held during minimum days. Meetings were held in November and March. The school does not have a bargaining unit. At its May board meeting, the school board also allocated a discussion session to LCAP input, which included input from the Parent Organization.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Overall, greater than 80% of stakeholders provided input. The input overwhelmingly affirmed the reconfiguration of the school's goals and the actions associated with those, as well as the support services that the school provides. Stakeholder input especially emphasized the importance of supporting all students in the college admissions process as well as the importance of providing opportunities for engagement with the arts throughout middle and high school. These actions are already included in the school's LCAP and educational plan.

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Maintain academic achievement in all areas	Maintenance of Progress Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
- Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
- Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The LEA developed this goal to further the school's mission of continuously maintaining high academic standards for all grade levels, in alignment with its International Baccalaureate curriculum.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	Standardized test scores, SAT and IB exam scores: CAASPP ELA (2022), CAASPP Math (2022), SAT Test Scores (2023), IB Scores (2022), Seal of Biliteracy Exam (2024)	CAASPP ELA (2022): 33.8 pts above standard (Source: Dashboard); CAASPP Math (2022): 27.0 pts below standard (Source: Dashboard); SAT Test Scores (2022): 1280 average for students tested (Source: College Board student test results)			Meet or exceed baseline expectations in ELA, humanities, social sciences, experimental sciences, and acquired languages; increase CAASPP math scores to within 10pts or less of standard.	

		<p>IB Scores (2022): 83% passed at Level 3 or above (Source: International Baccalaureate)</p> <p>Seal of Biliteracy (2024): 100% passed (Source: CalPoly Language Department)</p>				
1.2	Teacher mis-assignments (2022)	Teacher mis-assignments (2022): 8% out of field (which is aligned with county average); 61% clear credential (which is below state and county average) (Source: CDE Dashboard)			Maintain baseline for out-of-field teacher assignments at 8% or lower; increase % clear credentials 80% or greater to align with county and state averages.	
1.3	Standards aligned instructional materials within a broad course of study and academic content and performance standards adopted by the state board, including diverse opportunities in the arts in all grade levels	<p>Standards aligned instructional materials: All students have sufficient access to standards-aligned instructional materials; a broad course of study is present by requirement in the school's IB curriculum (Source: IB Authorization Report, CDE Dashboard)</p> <p>Academic content and performance standards: all content and performance standards</p>			Maintain baseline	

		are met or exceeded by the school's adopted IB curriculum, though as noted specific adjustment is needed in several areas in math to ensure that emphasis is placed on all state content standards in comparison to the emphasis placed by the IB.				
1.4	College preparedness data: Graduation Rates (2023), UC/CSU readiness (2023), IB/AP EE%	<p>Graduation Rates (2023): 87% graduation rate (decrease from prior year due to transfer students who did not graduate at their new school--all enrolled seniors in 2023 graduated) (Source: CDE Dashboard)</p> <p>UC/CSU readiness (2023: 100% of graduates completed a-g requirements (Source: graduating class transcripts)</p> <p>IB/AP EE% (2023): 95% of graduates fulfilled the AP/IB Excellence in Equity standard (Source:AP/IB score reports)</p>			Meet or exceed baseline	

1.5	<p>Support for English Learners and Unduplicated Students,: %of EL pupils progressing toward English proficiency, EL reclassification rate,access of EL students to CCSS and ELD standards, Programs and services provided to unduplicated students, Programs and services provided to students with exceptional needs</p>	<p>% of EL pupils progressing toward English proficiency (2023): n/a (no eligible students)</p> <p>EL reclassification rate (2023): n/a (no eligible students)</p> <p>Access of EL students to CCSS and ELD standards(2019-20): 100% (Source: though were no EL students in 2023, whenever the school has an EL student the standards are reviewed by the resource specialist to ensure compliance/access for that student)</p> <p>Programs and services provided to unduplicated students: 100% of students have access to personalized academic support and enhanced college counseling (Source: school records of support plans for unduplicated students). See Goal1, Actions 2 and 5.</p>			<p>Maintain baseline for programs and services for unduplicated students. Fully support any new EL students in progress toward English proficiency.</p>	
-----	--	--	--	--	---	--

		Programs and services provided to students with exceptional needs:100% of students have access to support programs designed by the resource specialist in consultation with the student and family (Source:resource specialist records). See Goal1, Action 7.				
1.6	CTE Pathway Data	As an IB world school, the school does not offer a CTE pathway			n/a	
1.7	Information technology resources in order to ensure continued success in the school's challenging curriculum and to prepare students for success in post secondary education and future careers	One-to-one Chromebooks, and adequate broadband connection for all sites, and home support for families needing assistance with connectivity.			Maintain 100% delivery of Chromebooks and connectivity.	

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Teacher Assignments and Instructional Priorities	Ensure all teachers are appropriately assigned and administrative duties are designated effectively.	\$1,029,914.00	No
1.2	Support for Unduplicated Students	Maintain effectiveness of support classes for all unduplicated students through intervention instruction and targeted support.	\$147,534.00	Yes
1.3	Formal Examinations and Examination Preparation	Review the exam preparation classes offered by faculty to determine if any adjustments are needed, and administer IB and AP tests. Provide specialized training sessions for the IB Extended Essay through an outside vendor.	\$62,557.00	No
1.4	Academic Counselling	Continue to provide comprehensive academic counseling and support in the college application process.	\$10,638.00	No
1.5	Additional Academic Support for Unduplicated Students	Identify the need for additional academic counseling and support among English learners, low-income, and foster students and provide that support when and as needed. Continue to provide additional support in the college application process to all English learners, low-income, and foster students. Identification of academic need will be conducted by the Diploma Program coordinator and support will be provided by the school's academic counselors and faculty tutors.	\$3,120.00	Yes

<b>1.6</b>	Maintenance of Library and Instructional Materials	Review and update library and instructional materials as needed.	\$17,160.00	No
<b>1.7</b>	Supporting Special Needs Students	Maintain effectiveness of special educational needs services for all eligible students. Services to be provided by the school's resource specialist in partnership with other specialists based on determined needs.	\$53,000.00	No
<b>1.8</b>	Mental and Social Wellbeing	Provide a personal counselor to address student mental and social well being on an as-needed basis.	\$15,441.00	No
<b>1.9</b>	Technology Resources	Maintain one-to-one Chromebooks, broadband connectivity, and home support as needed; maintain technology agreement with HCOE and copier agreements for office needs.	\$19,636.00	No



# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Maintain high levels of student and parent engagement	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
- Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
- Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The LEA developed this goal because student and parent engagement is central to the school's mission and essential for fostering a vibrant learning community that supports each student in the pursuit of his or her educational goals.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	Number of volunteer projects initiated and hours spent for 6th through 10th grade students and completeness of CAS journals and quality of reflective statements for 11th and 12th grade students.	90+% of 6th through 10th grade students initiate projects involving 20+ hours of service work and 90+% of 12th grade students complete an exemplary CAS portfolio.			Maintain baseline	
2.2	For parents: maintaining the current rate of participation in Parent Council and other supplementary	Parental participation and volunteer rates (2023): 80+% (Source: school survey results)			Maintain baseline	

	<p>programs, participation in school and LEA decision-making, participation in programs for unduplicated students, participation in programs for students with exceptional needs.</p>	<p>Parental participation in school decision-making (2023): 80+% (Source: school survey participation rate; parent council participation, meeting attendance rates)</p> <p>Parent participation in programs for unduplicated students: 100% (Source: manual count of participating families)</p> <p>Parent participation in programs for students with exceptional needs: 100% (Source: manual count of participating families)</p>				
2.3	<p>For students: Attendance (2023), Suspension (2023), Expulsion (2023), Chronic Absenteeism (2023), GPA (2023)</p>	<p>Maintain attendance rates of 95% or higher, statistically insignificant suspension/expulsion and dropout rates, school-wide average GPA of 3.4 or higher, and student engagement of 90+% in meaningful extracurricular pursuits.</p> <p>Attendance (2023): 96% (Source: P1, P2 data) Suspension (2023): 0% (Source:</p>			Maintain baseline	

		DataQuest/ Dashboard) Expulsion (2023): 0% (Source:DataQuest) Chronic Absenteeism (2023): 0% (CDE Dashboard)  Average GPA (2023): 3.8 (weighted) (Source: Jupiter Ed Information System)				
2.4	Student extracurricular pursuits (2023), School climate/community (2023).	Student extracurricular pursuits (2023): 95% (Source: IB community project, personal project,and CAS)  School climate/community (2023): no student/family reports feeling unsafe or disconnected (Source: School climate survey, SARC, CDE Dashboard Suspension Rate,individual communications with families)			Maintain baseline	

### Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Implementing Service Learning Programs	Implementation of CAS (Creativity, Activity, Service) curriculum for grades 11-12 and school-based service learning program for grades 6-10. Expenditures included in Goal 1, Action 6.	\$0.00	No
2.2	Parent Meetings and All-School Meetings	Continue to hold semesterly meetings for parents by grade level as well as for the entire school community.	\$28,430.00	No
2.3	Engagement of Unduplicated Students and Families	Support each unduplicated student fully in their educational path and engagement in school community and work actively with parents of unduplicated students to provide all needed services and accommodations. Expense included in Goal 1, Action 2.	\$0.00	Yes
2.4	Athletics Opportunities	Offer athletics opportunities for all students, with an emphasis on life sports.	\$43,844.00	No

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Maintain and improve NPA’s physical plant and resources to sustain and improve functionality of instructional spaces as well as provide safe transportation for students	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

- Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
- Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
- Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The LEA developed this goal to ensure that the school continues to prioritize the improvement of the functionality of instructional spaces and the safety of students when transported to locations off campus.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	All facilities are rated in good condition (Source:Facilities Inspection Tool). Van fleet maintenance current at all times.	All facilities are maintained in good condition (Source:Facilities Inspection Tool). All vans in good condition with all maintenance fully up-to-date (Van maintenance records).			Maintain baseline	

# Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Action #1 Facilities Upkeep and Van Fleet and Maintenance	Operate and maintain all facilities and vans in good condition	\$73,884.00	No

# Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2024-25]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$74,496	\$

## Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
4.964%	0.000%	\$0.00	4.964%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

## Required Descriptions

### LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

### Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.2	<p><b>Action:</b> Support for Unduplicated Students</p> <p><b>Need:</b> Additional academic support and guidance for unduplicated students</p> <p><b>Scope:</b> Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</p>	Individualized tutoring to provide academic support, additional academic counselling as needed	1.1, 1.4, 1.5
1.5	<p><b>Action:</b> Additional Academic Support for Unduplicated Students</p> <p><b>Need:</b> Academic guidance to complete a-g and state requirements, additional support for college application process</p> <p><b>Scope:</b> Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</p>	Guidance to monitor and support a-g progress; guidance to promote a successful college application process	1.4, 1.5
2.3	<p><b>Action:</b> Engagement of Unduplicated Students and Families</p> <p><b>Need:</b> Support tracking of goals and follow-through at home for unduplicated students.</p> <p><b>Scope:</b> Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</p>	Sustain regular communication with families to ensure goals and deadlines are met, with support for these provided as needed.	1.1, 1.4, 1.5



For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

N/A

**Additional Concentration Grant Funding**

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

n/a

<b>Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students</b>	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students		
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students		

# 2024-25 Total Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	1,500,741	74,496	4.964%	0.000%	4.964%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$1,253,242.00	\$197,263.00	\$36,356.00	\$18,297.00	\$1,505,158.00	\$1,281,934.00	\$223,224.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)		Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
1	1.1	Teacher Assignments and Instructional Priorities	All		No				Ongoing	\$1,029,914.00	\$0.00	\$928,431.00	\$79,031.00	\$16,356.00	\$6,096.00	\$1,029,914.00
1	1.2	Support for Unduplicated Students	English Foster Low	Learners Youth Income	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	\$147,534.00	\$0.00	\$147,534.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$147,534.00
1	1.3	Formal Examinations and Examination Preparation	All		No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$62,557.00	\$25,557.00	\$17,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$62,557.00
1	1.4	Academic Counselling	All		No				Ongoing	\$10,638.00	\$0.00	\$10,638.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,638.00
1	1.5	Additional Academic Support for Unduplicated Students	English Foster Low	Learners Youth Income	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	\$3,120.00	\$0.00	\$3,120.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,120.00
1	1.6	Maintenance of Library and Instructional Materials	All		No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$17,160.00	\$0.00	\$17,160.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17,160.00
1	1.7	Supporting Special Needs Students	Students with Disabilities		No				Ongoing	\$36,616.00	\$16,384.00	\$0.00	\$40,799.00	\$0.00	\$12,201.00	\$53,000.00
1	1.8	Mental and Social Wellbeing	All		No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$15,441.00	\$0.00	\$15,441.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,441.00
1	1.9	Technology Resources	All		No				Annual/Ongoing	\$0.00	\$19,636.00	\$8,230.00	\$11,406.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,636.00
2	2.1	Implementing Service Learning Programs	All		No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds
2	2.2	Parent Meetings and All-School Meetings	All	No				Ongoing	\$28,430.00	\$0.00	\$28,430.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$28,430.00
2	2.3	Engagement of Unduplicated Students and Families	English Foster Low Learners Youth Income	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	Ongoing	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	2.4	Athletics Opportunities	All	No				Ongoing	\$25,682.00	\$18,162.00	\$39,544.00	\$4,300.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$43,844.00
3	3.1	Action #1 Facilities Upkeep and Van Fleet and Maintenance	All	No				Ongoing	\$0.00	\$73,884.00	\$61,758.00	\$12,126.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$73,884.00

# 2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
1,500,741	74,496	4.964%	0.000%	4.964%	\$150,654.00	0.000%	10.039 %	<b>Total:</b>	\$150,654.00
								<b>LEA-wide Total:</b>	\$0.00
								<b>Limited Total:</b>	\$150,654.00
								<b>Schoolwide Total:</b>	\$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.2	Support for Unduplicated Students	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$147,534.00	
1	1.5	Additional Academic Support for Unduplicated Students	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$3,120.00	
2	2.3	Engagement of Unduplicated Students and Families	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$0.00	

# 2023-24 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
<b>Totals</b>	\$1,271,314.00	\$1,781,071.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Action #1 Teacher Assignments and Instructional Priorities	No	\$958,708.00	1,338,405
1	1.2	Action #2 Support for Unduplicated Students	Yes	\$55,298.00	108,415
1	1.3	Action #3 Formal Examinations and Examination Preparation	No	\$33,000.00	25,300
1	1.4	Action #4 Academic Counselling	No	\$11,138.00	14,055
1	1.5	Action #5 Additional Support for Unduplicated Students	Yes	\$2,624.00	3,000
1	1.6	Action #6 Maintenance of Library and Instructional Materials	No	\$14,190.00	28,769
1	1.7	Action #7 Supporting Special Needs Students	Yes	\$54,880.00	74,228
1	1.8	Action #8 Mental and Social Wellbeing	No	\$7,900.00	12,291
2	2.1	Action #1 Chromebooks for Students	No	\$16,000.00	13,406
2	2.2	Action #2 Maintain and Update School Website	No		

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	2.3	Action #3 Technology Agreement with HCOE	No	\$16,200.00	12,350
3	3.1	Action #1 Implementing Service Learning Programs	No	\$12,450.00	13,750
4	4.1	Action #1 Parent Meetings and All-School Meetings	No	\$2,926.00	3,376
4	4.2	Action #2 Engagement of Unduplicated Students and Families	Yes		
4	4.3	Action #3 Athletics Opportunities	No	\$44,733.00	38,623
4	4.4	Action #4 Communication with Unduplicated Student Families	Yes	\$4,600.00	4,121
5	5.1	Action #1 New Facilities Fund Allocations		\$0.00	
5	5.2	Action #2 Facilities Upkeep and Van Fleet and Maintenance	No	\$36,667.00	90,982
5	5.3	Action #3 Campus Safety			

# 2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
61,093	\$62,522.00	\$115,536.00	(\$53,014.00)	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.2	Action #2 Support for Unduplicated Students	Yes	\$55,298.00	108,415		
1	1.5	Action #5 Additional Support for Unduplicated Students	Yes	\$2,624.00	3,000		
1	1.7	Action #7 Supporting Special Needs Students	Yes		0		
4	4.2	Action #2 Engagement of Unduplicated Students and Families	Yes		0		
4	4.4	Action #4 Communication with Unduplicated Student Families	Yes	\$4,600.00	4,121		

## 2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
1,422,082	61,093	0.00%	4.296%	\$115,536.00	0.000%	8.124%	\$0.00	0.000%



# Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at [LCFF@cde.ca.gov](mailto:LCFF@cde.ca.gov).

## Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California *Education Code* [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
  - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
    - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA’s final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity’s budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

# Plan Summary

## Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

## Requirements and Instructions

### General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

### Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

### Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

**Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

*Schools Identified*

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

*Support for Identified Schools*

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

*Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness*

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

**Engaging Educational Partners**

**Purpose**

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

# Requirements

**School districts and COEs:** *EC* sections [52060\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) and [52066\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

**Charter schools:** *EC* Section [47606.5\(d\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062 \(California Legislative Information\)](#);

- **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068 \(California Legislative Information\)](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5 \(California Legislative Information\)](#).
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

## Instructions

### Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

### Complete the table as follows:

#### Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

#### Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
  - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
  - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
  - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
  - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
  - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
  - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
  - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
  - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
  - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
  - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
  - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
  - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

## Goals and Actions

### Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

# Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
  - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

## Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The [LCFF State Priorities Summary](#) provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

### Focus Goal(s)

#### Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

#### Type of Goal



Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

**Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding**

**Description**

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators.
  - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
  - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.

**Type of Goal**

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
  - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

**Note:** EC Section [42238.024\(b\)\(1\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

**Broad Goal**

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

### Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

## Maintenance of Progress Goal

### Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

### Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

## Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.
- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
  - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
  - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

**Metric #**

- Enter the metric number.

**Metric**

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

**Baseline**

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.

- Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
- Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
- Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
- The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
  - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
  - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

### Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

### Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

### Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

**Current Difference from Baseline**

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2025–26</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2026–27</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2025–26</b> and <b>2026–27</b> . Leave blank until then.

**Goal Analysis:**

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

**Note:** When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

**A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.**

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
  - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
  - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

**Actions:**

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

## Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

## Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
  - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
  - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

## Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

## Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
  - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

**Actions for Foster Youth:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

## Required Actions

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
  - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and



- Professional development for teachers.
- If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.
- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
  - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
  - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

## **Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students**

### **Purpose**

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

### **Statutory Requirements**

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

**LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions**

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

**For School Districts Only**

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

**Requirements and Instructions**

Complete the tables as follows:

**Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants**

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

**Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant**

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

**Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year**

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

**LCFF Carryover — Percentage**

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

**LCFF Carryover — Dollar**

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

**Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year**

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

**Required Descriptions:**

**LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions**

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

**Identified Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

**How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis**

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA’s unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

**Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness**

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

**Note for COEs and Charter Schools:** In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

**Limited Actions**

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

**Identified Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA’s needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

**How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

## Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.
- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
  - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
  - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

## Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

## Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.  
  
See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action’s number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering “All,” or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type “Yes” if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type “No” if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If “Yes” is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
  - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
  - **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
  - **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate “All Schools.” If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter “Specific Schools” or “Specific Grade Spans.” Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter “ongoing” if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter “1 Year,” or “2 Years,” or “6 Months.”
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA’s total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).



- **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
  - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the “Other State Funds” category, not in the “LCFF Funds” category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA’s LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
  - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA’s current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

## Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

## Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic

Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

## Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

### Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
  - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
  - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

### Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**
  - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

#### **LCFF Carryover Table**

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
  - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**
  - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

- This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education  
November 2023